## IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

## CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION No 290 of 1998

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE A.K.TRIVEDI

\_\_\_\_\_\_

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
- 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
- 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
- Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
- 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

\_\_\_\_\_\_

RASHID GULAMHUEIN QURESHI

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

\_\_\_\_\_

Appearance:

MR MJ BUDDHBHATTI for Petitioner
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR for Respondent No. 1

\_\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE A.K.TRIVEDI

Date of decision: 17/09/98

## ORAL JUDGEMENT

- 1. Heard Ld.Advocate Mr.M.J.Buddhbhatti appearing for the petitioner. Ld.APP-Mr.M.A.Patel appears on behalf of the respondent-State.
- 2. The petitioner has challenged the legality, validity and propriety of the order passed by the

Ld.Addl.Sessions Judge, Court No.2, Ahmedabad city in the proceedings of Sessions Case No.131/97, dated 20th April, 1998 below Exh.85.

- 3. That during the hearing of the petition, the Ld.Advocate appearing for the petitioner Mr.M.J.Buddhbhatti has stated at the Bar that he does not press for the relief prayed in the petition provided the petitioner is permitted to reserve the right to make appropriate submission regarding the evidentiary value of the panchnama produced vide Exh.11/3 in the said case.
- 4. In view of the abovestated facts without entering into the merits of the matter, the petitioner shall be at liberty to make appropriate submission in respect to evidentiary value of panchnama produced vide Exh.11/3 and permitted to be taken on record vide impugned order at the time of arguments.
- 5. Subject to what is stated above, petition stands disposdd of for want of further prosecution. Rule is discharged. No costs. DS permitted.

. . .